1 HONORABLE THOMAS O. RICE MICHAEL E. MCFARLAND, JR., #23000 2 Evans, Craven & Lackie, P.S. 3 818 W. Riverside, Suite 250 Spokane, WA 99201-0910 4 (509) 455-5200; fax (509) 455-3632 Attorneys for Wenatchee School 5 **District Defendants** 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE ESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 I.V., a minor child; and APRIL OLIVARES and 10 FERNANDO OLIVARES VARGAS, parents of I.V., 11 12 Plaintiffs, Case No. 2:17-cv-00118-TOR 13 vs. ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR 14 DAMAGES OF WENATCHEE Y.A.F., a minor child, MARIA M. PEREZ SCHOOL DISTRICT DEFENDANTS 15 FLORES, as guardian of Y.A.F., WENATCHEE SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 246, a political 16 subdivision; TAUNYA BROWN, individually and 17 in her capacity as an official of Orchard Middle School and/or Wenatchee School District: 18 JEREMY WHEATLEY, individually and in his 19 capacity as an official of Orchard Middle School and/or Wenatchee School District; RONDA 20 BRENDER individually and in her capacity as an 21 of Orchard Middle School and/or official Wenatchee School District; KELLI OTTLEY, 22 individually and in her capacity as an official of 23 Orchard Middle School and/or Wenatchee School District; ELLEN McIRVIN, individually and in her 24 capacity as an official of Orchard Middle School 25 and/or Wenatchee School District, 26 Defendants. 27 28

Answer to Complaint For Damages Of Wenatchee School District Defendants - page 1

29

30

Evans, Eraven & Lackie, P.S. 818 W. Riverside, Suite 250 Spokane, WA 99201-0910 (509) 455-5200; fax (509) 455-3632

COME NOW Defendants WENATCHEE SCHOOL DISTRICT, Taunya Brown, Jeremy Wheatley, Ronda Brender, Kelli Ottley, and Ellen McIrvin (collectively "WSD" or "Answering Defendants"), by and through their attorney of record, Michael E. McFarland, Jr., of Evans, Craven & Lackie, P,S,, and enter this Answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint as follows:

I. JURISDICTION

1. Answering Defendants admit that jurisdiction and venue are proper.

II. PARTIES

- 2. Answering Defendants admit that Plaintiff I.V. is a minor child.
- 3. The first sentence of Paragraph 3 contains a legal conclusion to which no response is necessary. Answering Defendants admit the remaining allegations of the paragraph.
- 4. Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 4 and therefore deny the same.
- 5. Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 5 and therefore deny the same.
- 6. Answering Defendants admit Defendant Y.A.F. is a minor child. The second sentence of the paragraph contains a legal conclusion to which no response is necessary. Answering Defendants admit the remaining allegations contained in the paragraph regarding Y.A.F.'s

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES OF WENATCHEE SCHOOL DISTRICT DEFENDANTS - page 2

Evans, Craven & Lackie, P.S. 818 W. Riverside, Suite 250 Spokane, WA 99201-0910 (509) 455-5200; fax (509) 455-3632

school attendance. Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny Y.A.F.'s residence and therefore deny the same.

- 7. Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 7 and therefore deny the same.
- 8. Answering Defendants admit that Wenatchee School District is a public school district in the state of Washington. The remainder of Paragraph 8 contains legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Answering Defendants deny all allegations of liability.
- 9. Answering Defendants admit that the individual defendants are residents of Washington and were employed by Wenatchee School District and were acting in furtherance of their duties at all times herein. The remaining allegations constitute legal conclusions to which no response is deemed necessary. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Answering Defendants deny all allegations of liability.

III. VENUE AND ADMINISTRATIVE PREFILING CONDITIONS

10. Answering Defendants admit that venue is proper in this Court and that Plaintiffs have complied with the applicable pre-filing requirements.

29 ///

30 ///

IV. OPERATIVE FACTS

- 11. The allegations of Paragraph 11 constitute legal conclusions to which no response is deemed necessary. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Answering Defendants deny all allegations of liability.
- 12. Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of the first sentence and therefore deny the same. Answering Defendants deny the allegations contained in the second sentence of the paragraph. Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in the paragraph and therefore deny the same.
- 13. Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in the paragraph and therefore deny the same.
- 14. Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in the first sentence of the paragraph and therefore deny the same. The second sentence of the paragraph constitutes a legal conclusion to which no response is necessary. Answering Defendants deny the remaining allegations of this paragraph.
- 15. Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny whether the identified acts took place and therefore deny the same.

29

30

Answering Defendants deny all allegations that Defendants were aware of the identified acts.

- Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations regarding the alleged events of September 2013 and therefore deny the same.
- Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations regarding the alleged events occurring during the 2014 school year and therefore deny the same.
- Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations regarding the alleged events of December 2014 and therefore deny the same.
- Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations regarding the alleged actions of Ms. Olivares and therefore deny the same.
- Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations regarding the alleged events of January 29, 2015, and therefore deny the same.
- Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations regarding the alleged events of January 30,

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2015, and therefore deny the same.

- Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations regarding the alleged questioning of I.V. regarding his weight loss and repeated bullying and assault and therefore deny the same.
- Answering Defendants deny the allegations regarding Ms. Olivares contacting the school counselor and that Ms. Brender said she would ask other students to identify the bully.
- As this allegation is premised upon the allegation contained in the immediately preceding paragraph, Answering Defendants deny the allegation that the bully was not located or identified by the OMS staff and I.V. continued to suffer at the hands of Y.A.F.
- Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations regarding I.V.'s diagnosis of SMA syndrome, and allegations of other conditions and therefore deny the same.
- Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations regarding I.V.'s anorexia and therefore deny the same.

29

- Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations regarding I.V.'s treatment at Children's Hospital and therefore deny the same.
- Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations regarding the events of Fall 2015 and therefore deny the same.
- Answering Defendants admit that on January 4, 2016, Ms. Olivares
 met with Ms. Brown, the principal at OMS and the name of the
 bully was disclosed to OMS. Answering Defendants deny the
 remainder of this paragraph.
- Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny whether Ms. Olivares called the police and made a report and therefore deny the same. Answering Defendants admit that Y.A.F. was arrested on or about January 5, 2016.
- Answering Defendants admit the police investigation revealed the
 existence of video evidence. Answering Defendants are without
 sufficient information to admit or deny the remainder of the
 paragraph and therefore deny the same.
- Answering Defendants admit that on January 19, 2016, an Order of

Protection was signed by a Chelan County Superior Court Judge.

- Answering Defendants admit that at some point in January 2016,
 Ms. Olivares had a phone conversation with Defendant Brown regarding the existence of an Order of Protection and that Ms.
 Brown advised Ms. Olivares of Ms. Brown's need to obtain a copy of the Order.
- Answering Defendants admit that at some point around the time alleged (January 29, 2016), Ms. Brown left a message for Ms. Olivares requesting a copy of the Order of Protection.
- Answering Defendants admit that around the time alleged (February 1, 2016), Ms. Brenner and Ms. Brown met with Ms. Olivares and reviewed the Order of Protection. Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of this paragraph and therefore deny the same.
- Answering Defendants admit that subsequent to the meeting identified in the preceding paragraph, Ms. Olivares contacted Ms. Brown and reported that Y.A.F. had threatened I.V. Answering Defendants deny the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph.

- Answering Defendants deny the allegations regarding what was "ultimately determined."
- Answering Defendants admit that around the time alleged (February 4, 2016), Ms. Olivares contacted Mark Helm to discuss the Order of Protection. Answering Defendants deny all remaining allegations contained in this paragraph.
- Answering Defendants deny the allegations regarding events on February 21, 2016.
- Answering Defendants admit that I.V. was a freshman at Wenatchee High School during the 2016-2017 school year and that Y.A.F. attends Westside High School.
- Answering Defendants admit that on or about the date alleged (August 30, 2016), it was reported to Wenatchee School District that Y.A.F. had gone onto the Wenatchee High School campus. Answering Defendants deny all remaining allegations contained in this paragraph.
- Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of continued bullying and harassment and that I.V. attempted to end his life in October, 2016 and therefore

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

deny the same.

Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations regarding emotional stress and flashbacks and that I.V. is suffering a relapse of anorexia and hospitalization and therefore deny the same.

V. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: DISCRIMINATION ON THE BASIS OF GENDER IN VIOLATION OF 20 U.S.C. §1681 (TITLE IX)

- 16. Answering Defendants reallege all previous responses as set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 15 herein.
- 17. Paragraph 17 constitutes legal conclusions to which no response is deemed necessary. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Answering Defendants deny all allegations of liability.
- 18. Paragraph 18 constitutes legal conclusions to which no response is deemed necessary. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Answering Defendants deny all allegations of liability.
- 19. The first sentence of Paragraph 19 constitutes legal conclusions to which no response is deemed necessary. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Answering Defendants deny all allegations of liability. Answering Defendants deny all remaining allegations contained in this paragraph.

20. The first sentence of Paragraph 20 constitutes legal conclusions to which no response is deemed necessary. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Answering Defendants deny all allegations of liability. Answering Defendants deny all remaining allegations contained in this paragraph.

VI. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS UNDER 42 U.S.C. §1983

- 21. Answering Defendants reallege all previous responses as set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 20 herein.
- 22. The first two sentences of Paragraph 22 constitute legal conclusions to which no response is deemed necessary. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Answering Defendants deny all allegations of liability. Answering Defendants deny all remaining allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 23. Answering Defendants deny all allegations contained in this paragraph.

VII. THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION: NEGLIGENCE

- 24. Answering Defendants reallege all previous responses as set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 23 herein.
- 25. The first two sentences of Paragraph 25 constitute legal conclusions to which no response is deemed necessary. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Answering Defendants deny all

Answer to Complaint For Damages Of Wenatchee School District Defendants - page 11

Evans, Craven & Lackie, P.S. 818 W. Riverside, Suite 250 Spokane, WA 99201-0910 (509) 455-5200; fax (509) 455-3632 allegations of liability. Answering Defendants deny the allegations contained in the last sentence of this paragraph.

- 26. Paragraph 26 contains legal conclusions to which no response is deemed necessary. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Answering Defendants deny all allegations of liability.
- 27. Answering Defendants deny all allegations contained in this paragraph.

VIII. DAMAGES

- 28. Answering Defendants reallege all previous responses as set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 27 herein.
- 29. Answering Defendants deny all allegations of liability, as well as the existence, nature and extent of Plaintiffs' claimed injuries and damages.
- 30. Answering Defendants deny all allegations of liability, as well as the existence, nature and extent of Plaintiffs' claimed injuries and damages.

IX. JURY DEMAND

31. Answering Defendants join in the request for a jury trial.

X. PRAYER

Answering Defendants deny all allegations that these Answering Defendants are liable for any of the damages claimed by Plaintiffs and further

| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | (|
| 14 | |
| 15 | ١ |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| | 1 |

29

30

deny that Plaintiffs are otherwise entitled to any relief from these Answering Defendants.

* * *

These Answering Defendants specifically deny all allegations of Plaintiffs' Complaint for Damages that were not admitted herein or a lack of knowledge claimed.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Pursuant to the requirements of F.R.C.P. 12, without the benefit of having conducted any formal discovery in this case, by way of affirmative defenses, and without admitting any allegations previously denied, WSD asserts the following:

- 1. Plaintiffs have failed to state a claim against one or more Defendant.
- 2. The individual Defendants are entitled to a finding of qualified immunity.
- 3. Plaintiffs' claimed injuries and damages were caused by the intentional acts of Y.A.F. and as such Answering Defendants are entitled to a *Tegman/Rollins* jury instruction at trial.
- 4. As alleged in Plaintiffs' Complaint, Plaintiffs' claimed injuries and damages were caused by the negligent conduct of Y.A.F. and/or Maria M. Perez Flores.

| 1 | DATED this12th day of June, 2017. |
|----|--|
| 2 | EVANC CDAVEN & LACVIE D.C. |
| 3 | EVANS, CRAVEN & LACKIE, P.S. |
| 4 | |
| 5 | By: s/ Michael E. McFarland, Jr. |
| 6 | Michael E. McFarland, Jr., #23000 Attorneys for Wenatchee School District |
| 7 | And School District Defendants |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| 29 | |
| 30 | |

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 2 I hereby certify that on June 12, 2017, I electronically filed the foregoing 3 with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System which will send 4 notification of such filing to the following: 5 6 Scott A. Volyn 7 Volyn Law Firm 23 South Mission Street, Suite B Wenatchee, WA 98801 Email: scott@volynlawfirm.com 10 11 12 EVANS, CRAVEN & LACKIE, P.S. 13 14 s/ Michael E. McFarland, Jr. By: 15 MICHAEL E. McFARLAND, #23000 Attorney for Defendants 16 Evans, Craven & Lackie, P.S. 17 818 W. Riverside Ave., Suite 250 18 Spokane, Washington 99201 (509) 455-5200 19 (509) 455-3632 Facsimile 20 MMcFarland@ecl-law.com 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES OF WENATCHEE SCHOOL DISTRICT DEFENDANTS - page 15

Evans, Craven & Lackie, P.S. 818 W. Riverside, Suite 250 Spokane, WA 99201-0910 (509) 455-5200; fax (509) 455-3632